

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/734,915	FUNAKI, TOMOYUKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marlon T. Fletcher	2837

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marlon T. Fletcher. (3) _____.

(2) Mehran Arjomand. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-24.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant fixed in a proposed amendment on 9/25/08. After review, the amendment was discussed. The examiner recommended language that was necessary for claim 8 of the proposed amendment. Mr. Arjomand consulted Mr. Takahayshi. We all agreed with language which has been herewith added by examiner's amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Marlon T Fletcher/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2837	
--	--